## **Understanding BCNF : Boyce Codd Normal Form**

(Ken Goldberg, UC Berkeley IEOR Dept, Jan 2014)

Recall the definition of 3NF: R is in 3NF if  $\forall X \rightarrow Y$ , either X is a superkey or Y is a prime attribute.

BCNF is stricter:

R is in BCNF if  $\forall X \rightarrow Y$ , X is a super key. (BCNF is stronger, it eliminates second option)

## **Conditions for violating BCNF:**

Consider R(<u>A,B,C</u>) R is in 3NF but NOT in BCNF if all 5 of these conditions hold:

1) AB $\rightarrow$ C	(required by the fact that AB is a Candidate Key)
2) A  → C	(A does NOT determine C: otherwise R is not in 3NF)
3) B  → C	(similarly, otherwise R is not in 3NF)
4) C → B	(violates BCNF)
5) C $ \rightarrow$ A	(otherwise given 4, C would be a superkey)

We can normalize R into BCNF:  $R1(\underline{A},\underline{C})$  $R2(\underline{C},\underline{B})$ 

Example:

StudentMajor(SID, Major, Advisor)

Note: a student can have more than one Major, and one Advisor for each of their Major, and note that Advisors only advise in one Major

Advisor  $\rightarrow$  Major

StudentMajor is in 3NF since Major is a Prime Attribute but it is NOT in BCNF because Advisor is not a superkey.

To Normalize into BCNF

StudentAdvisors(<u>SID</u>, <u>Advisor</u>) AdvisorMajor(<u>Advisor</u>, Major) (Aside: Note: StudentMajors(<u>SID, Major</u>) AdvisorMajor(<u>Advisor, Major</u>)

This is in BCNF but does not capture which Advisors a student has.)