

Putting the Turing into Manufacturing: Recent Developments in Algorithmic Automation

[Invited Keynote: Extended Abstract]

Ken Goldberg
IEOR, EECS, School of Information
UC Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA
goldberg@berkeley.edu

ABSTRACT

As global labor costs increase and product life cycles decrease, there is renewed interest in research in automated manufacturing systems that can be reliably and rapidly configured. Inspired by Turing's abstractions for computing, *Algorithmic Automation* explores mathematical abstractions and algorithms that allow the functionality of assembly lines and manufacturing automation systems to be designed independent of their underlying implementations. Abstractions based on minimal sets of geometric primitives can provide the foundation for formal specification, analysis, design, optimization, and verification. Algorithmic Automation is characterized by: (1) formal specification of sets of admissible inputs (eg, polyhedra) and operations (eg, parallel-jaw grasps), (2) complete algorithms that compute all solutions or terminate with a report that no solution exists, and (3) bounds on complexity as a function of input size. This extended abstract summarizes selected results and open problems.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

I.2.9 [Computing Methodologies]: Artificial Intelligence—Robotics

General Terms

Algorithms, Performance, Reliability

Keywords

Automation; Manufacturing; Feeding; Fixturing; Grasping; Caging; Probing

1. INTRODUCTION

Manufacturing automation today is where computer technology was in the early 1960's: a patchwork of ad-hoc solutions lacking a rigorous scientific methodology. Computer-Aided Design (CAD) provides detailed models of part geometry but what is missing is a framework for the systematic design of automated assembly systems that handle parts (e.g. feed, sort, fixture, assemble, and inspect them).

Assembly lines employ a finite set of deterministic elements that perform specific physical actions (pushing, squeez-

ing, turning, grasping, etc). This suggests that assembly automation may be amenable to composition by a set of minimal primitives for formal specification, analysis, and synthesis [6]. Algorithmic results in robotics and automation often apply results from computational geometry are presented at symposia such as the biannual Workshop on Algorithmic Foundations of Robotics (WAFR) [22, 23] and in publications such as the IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering (T-ASE) [13].

2. ALGORITHMIC PART FEEDING

In manufacturing, parts often arrive in bags or boxes; an important function is *part feeding*, where such parts are precisely oriented prior to assembly or packing. A variety of clever mechanical techniques such as vibratory bowl feeders have been used for over a century, but these are designed ad-hoc by a rapidly diminishing cadre of specialists. A challenge is to develop algorithmic approaches that can take as input a CAD model of the part and generate as output a sequence of operations from a specified set that will feed the part (or a report that no such sequence exists). This problem was studied by Natarajan [26] and Eppstein [12], who reduced a version of the problem to that of finding reset sequences for monotonic deterministic finite automata.

In [15], I proposed a mechanical approach to orienting polygonal parts using sequences of open-loop grasp operations with a modified parallel-jaw gripper [16]. I presented an algorithm for computing an optimal sequence of grasp operations and showed that there always exists a sequence of operations that is guaranteed to orient any part (up to symmetry). I conjectured that $O(n)$ operations are sufficient for an n -sided polygon; Chen and Ierardi proved this conjecture in [8]. It was later shown that the complexity can be bounded by a constant that is a function of the geometric eccentricity of the part's bounding box [43]. The algorithm was generalized to algebraic parts [31], and applied to designing of an optimal sequence of mechanical fences on conveyor belts [45] and design of vibrational sequences for orienting micro-scale parts in parallel [4]. There is also work on algorithmic approaches to vibratory bowl feeder design [2, 1, 14] but a complete algorithm for feeding 3D parts, even polyhedra, is still an open problem.

3. ALGORITHMIC PART FIXTURING

Similar to robot grasping [25], *fixturing* is the problem of immobilizing a part with a set of contact points, often subject to higher forces and not restricted to points reachable

by a hand. It has been known since the nineteenth-century that 4 contacts are necessary in the plane, (7 for 3D) and a variety of models and metrics have been studied for immobilizing [35, 39, 3] and caging (finding sets of points that don't necessarily immobilize but restrict an object from escaping) [34, 42, 41, 37].

Bud Mishra first considered the problem of fixturing with modular components [24]. Randy Brost and I developed a complete algorithm for finding sets of fixtures for a given polygonal part using three circular locators and a clamp on a regular lattice [5] and the negative result that an infinite set of polygonal parts cannot be fixtured in this manner [46]. Variations and extensions have been explored including fixturing with edge locators [44], with unilateral contacts [18], fixturing deformable parts [19], fixturing a set of hinged polygons [9, 36] and fixturing with redundant contacts as a submodular coverage problem [38].

4. OPEN PROBLEMS IN ALGORITHMIC AUTOMATION

Many open problems arise when sensors are considered (eg, shape from probing) [6, 11] and when operations are treated as nondeterministic [40, 10, 29]. Tolerance modeling remains a fundamental open issue. The most common tolerance model specifies that part geometry must fit within a geometric zone between two bounds: the least and greatest "material conditions" [32, 33]. This model permits arbitrary shape complexity within this zone and hence is extremely difficult to analyze; researchers have assumed instead linear edges between vertices that are restricted to individual tolerance zones [27, 7]. A variant on the latter approach is to use statistical sampling of shape [20], which is facilitated by parallel-computing but is not complete. A rigorous tolerance model based on computational geometry would be extremely valuable.

Widespread access to the Internet and "Cloud Computing" can provide access to parallel computation, large data sets [21, 17] and to open-source software and benchmarks for [28, 30]. The data structures and algorithms being contributed to the open-source Computational Geometry Algorithms Library (CGAL) implement Minkowski sums, offset polygons, Voronoi diagrams, and Delaunay triangulations that are valuable tools to guarantee correctness of algorithmic automation implementations [13].

5. SUMMARY

Algorithmic Automation explores mathematical abstractions and algorithms that allow the functionality of assembly lines and manufacturing automation systems to be designed independent of their underlying implementations. Abstractions based on minimal sets of geometric primitives can provide the foundation for formal specification, analysis, design, optimization, and verification. Algorithmic Automation is characterized by: (1) formal specification of sets of admissible inputs (eg, polyhedra) and operations (eg, parallel-jaw grasps), (2) complete algorithms that compute all solutions or terminate with a report that no solution exists, and (3) bounds on complexity as a function of input size. Much remains to be done.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I've been very fortunate to work on these topics with highly creative and rigorous colleagues such as Matt Mason, Frank van der Stappen, Danny Halperin, Mark Overmars, John Canny, Antonio Bicchi, Randy Brost, Michael Wang, Anil Rao, Kevin Lynch, Tomas Lozano-Perez, Karl Bohringer, Efi Fogel, Bruce Donald, Michael Peshkin, Bud Mishra, Vijay Kumar, Russ Taylor, Jean-Claude Latombe, Ari Requicha, George Bekey, Leo Joskowicz, Jean-Paul Laumond, Nancy Amato, Elon Rimon, Jur van den Berg, Pieter Abbeel, and many others. This research has been supported in part by the US National Science Foundation, General Motors, Ford Motors, Adept Technology, and Siemens Corp. More on Algorithmic Automation, with online java applets, can be found at:

<http://goldberg.berkeley.edu/algorithmic-automation/>.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] P. K. Agarwal, A. D. Collins, and J. L. Harer. Minimal trap design. In *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, volume 3. IEEE, 2001.
- [2] R.-P. Berretty, K. Goldberg, L. Cheung, M. H. Overmars, G. Smith, and A. F. van der Stappen. Trap design for vibratory part feeders. *International Journal of Robotics Research*, 20(11), November 2001.
- [3] A. Bicchi and V. Kumar. Robotic grasping and contact: A review. In *Robotics and Automation, 2000. Proceedings. ICRA '00. IEEE International Conference on*, volume 1, pages 348–353. IEEE, 2000.
- [4] K.-F. Bohringer, V. Bhatt, B. R. Donald, and K. Goldberg. Algorithms for sensorless manipulation using a vibrating surface. *Algorithmica*, 26(3), 2000.
- [5] R. C. Brost and K. Y. Goldberg. A complete algorithm for designing planar fixtures using modular components. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, 12(1):31–46, February 1996.
- [6] J. F. Canny and K. Y. Goldberg. Risc for industrial robots: Recent results and open problems. In *IEEE Conference on Robotics and Automation*, May 1994.
- [7] J. Chen, K. Goldberg, M. H. Overmars, D. Halperin, K. F. Böhringer, and Y. Zhuang. Computing tolerance parameters for fixturing and feeding. *Assembly Automation*, 22(2):163–172, 2002.
- [8] Y.-B. Chen and D. Ierardi. The complexity of oblivious plans for orienting and distinguishing polygonal parts. *Algorithmica*, 14(5):367–397, 1995.
- [9] J.-S. Cheong, A. F. Van Der Stappen, K. Goldberg, M. H. Overmars, and E. Rimon. Immobilizing hinged polygons. *International Journal of Computational Geometry & Applications*, 17(01):45–69, 2007.
- [10] A. D. Christiansen and K. Y. Goldberg. Comparing two algorithms for programming robots in stochastic environments. *Robotica*, 13(6), 1995.
- [11] R. Cole and C. K. Yap. Shape from probing. *Journal of Algorithms*, 8(1), 1987.
- [12] D. Eppstein. Reset sequences for monotonic automata. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 19(3):500–510, 1990.
- [13] E. Fogel and D. Halperin. Polyhedral assembly partitioning with infinite translations or the importance of being exact. *Trans. on Automation Science and Engineering (T-ASE)*, 10(2), 2013.
- [14] O. C. Goemans, K. Goldberg, and A. F. van der Stappen. Blades: A new class of geometric primitives

- for feeding 3d parts on vibratory tracks. In *IEEE Int'l Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, 2006.
- [15] K. Y. Goldberg. Orienting polygonal parts without sensors. *Algorithmica*, 10(3):201–225, August 1993.
- [16] K. Y. Goldberg and M. L. Furst. Low friction gripper, Mar. 24 1992. US Patent 5,098,145.
- [17] K. Y. Goldberg and B. Kehoe. Cloud robotics and automation: A survey of related work. *EECS Department, University of California, Berkeley, Tech. Rep. UCB/EECS-2013-5*, 2013.
- [18] K. Gopalakrishnan, K. Goldberg, G. M. Bone, M. J. Zaluzec, R. Koganti, R. Pearson, and P. A. Deneszczyk. Unilateral fixtures for sheet metal parts with holes. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, 1(2):110–120, October 2004.
- [19] K. Gopalakrishnan, K. Goldberg, et al. D-space and deform closure grasps of deformable parts. *International Journal of Robotics Research*, 24(11), November 2005.
- [20] B. Kehoe, D. Berenson, and K. Goldberg. Estimating part tolerance bounds based on adaptive cloud-based grasp planning with slip. In *IEEE Conference on Automation Science and Engineering*, August 2012.
- [21] B. Kehoe, A. Matsukawa, S. Candido, J. Kuffner, and K. Goldberg. Cloud-based robot grasping with the google object recognition engine. In *IEEE Int'l Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, 2013.
- [22] J.-C. Latombe. Robot algorithms. In *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Algorithmic Foundations of Robotics (WAFR)*, pages 1–18. AK Peters, Ltd., 1995.
- [23] V. Milenkovic, E. Sacks, and S. Trac. Planar shape manipulation using approximate geometric primitives. In *Proceedings of the Tenth Workshop on Algorithmic Foundations of Robotics (WAFR)*. Springer, 2012.
- [24] B. Mishra. Workholding-analysis and planning. In *Intelligent Robots and Systems' 91. Intelligence for Mechanical Systems, Proceedings IROS'91. IEEE/RSJ International Workshop on*, pages 53–57. IEEE, 1991.
- [25] B. Mishra, J. T. Schwartz, and M. Sharir. On the existence and synthesis of multifinger positive grips. *Algorithmica*, 2(1-4):541–558, 1987.
- [26] B. Natarajan. An algorithmic approach to the automated design of parts orienters. In *Foundations of Computer Science, 1986., 27th Annual Symposium on*, pages 132–142. IEEE, 1986.
- [27] Y. Ostrovsky-Berman and L. Joskowicz. Tolerance envelopes of planar mechanical parts. In *9th Symposium on Solid modeling and Applications*. ACM, 2004.
- [28] E. Plaku, K. E. Bekris, and L. E. Kavraki. Oops for motion planning: An online, open-source, programming system. In *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*. IEEE, 2007.
- [29] R. Platt, L. Kaelbling, T. Lozano-Perez, and R. Tedrake. Simultaneous localization and grasping as a belief space control problem. In *International Symposium on Robotics Research*, volume 2, 2011.
- [30] M. Quigley, K. Conley, B. Gerkey, J. Faust, T. Foote, J. Leibs, R. Wheeler, and A. Y. Ng. Ros: an open-source robot operating system. In *International Conf. on Robotics and Automation Workshop on Open Source Software*. IEEE, 2009.
- [31] A. Rao and K. Goldberg. Manipulating algebraic parts in the plane. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, 11(4), August 1995.
- [32] A. A. Requicha. Toward a theory of geometric tolerancing. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 2(4):45–60, 1983.
- [33] A. A. Requicha. Mathematical definition of tolerance specifications. *Manufacturing Review*, 6:269–269, 1993.
- [34] E. Rimon and A. Blake. Caging planar bodies by one-parameter two-fingered gripping systems. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 18(3):299–318, 1999.
- [35] E. Rimon and J. W. Burdick. New bounds on the number of frictionless fingers required to immobilize. *Journal of Robotic Systems*, 12(6):433–451, 1995.
- [36] E. Rimon and A. F. van der Stappen. Immobilizing 2-d serial chains in form-closure grasps. *Robotics, IEEE Transactions on*, 28(1):32–43, 2012.
- [37] A. Rodriguez, M. T. Mason, and S. Ferry. From caging to grasping. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 31(7):886–900, 2012.
- [38] J. D. Schulman, K. Goldberg, and P. Abbeel. Grasping and fixturing as submodular coverage problems. In *International Symposium on Robotics Research*, September 2011.
- [39] G. Smith, E. Lee, K. Goldberg, K. Bohringer, and J. Craig. Computing parallel-jaw grip points. In *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, May 1999.
- [40] R. H. Taylor, M. T. Mason, and K. Y. Goldberg. Sensor-based manipulation planning as a game with nature. In *4th International Symposium on Robotics Research*. MIT Press, 1988.
- [41] M. Vahedi and A. F. van der Stappen. Caging polygons with two and three fingers. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 27(11-12):1308–1324, 2008.
- [42] A. F. van der Stappen. Immobilization: Analysis, existence, and output-sensitive synthesis a. frank van der stappen. In *Dimacs Workshop Computer Aided Design and Manufacturing, October*, volume 67, page 165. Amer Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [43] A. F. van der Stappen, K. Goldberg, and M. H. Overmars. Geometric eccentricity and the complexity of manipulation plans. *Algorithmica*, 26(3):494–514, March 2000.
- [44] C. Wentink, A. Stappen, and M. H. Overmars. Fixture design with edge-fixels. In *Intelligent Robots: Sensing, Modeling and Planning [Dagstuhl Workshop, September 1-6, 1996]*, pages 269–286. World Scientific Press, 1996.
- [45] J. Wiegley, K. Goldberg, M. Peshkin, and M. Brokowski. A complete algorithm for designing passive fences to orient parts. *Assembly Automation*, 17(2):129–136, 1997.
- [46] Y. Zhuang and K. Goldberg. On the existence of modular fixtures. *International Journal of Robotics Research*, 15(5), December 1996.